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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.006 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.041$
$w R$ factor $=0.101$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=17.7$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## (E)-3-Ferrocenyl-1-(4-tolylphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

In the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$, significant conformational differences in the ferrocenyl- $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) R$ groups distinguish the two independent molecules comprising the asymmetric unit. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions appear to influence the crystal packing.

## Comment

Interest in ferrocenyl chalcones related to the title compound, (I) (Song et al., 2004, 2005), arises in part owing to their antiplasmoidal activity (Wu et al., 2006). There are three crystal structures available in the literature containing the ferrocenyl- $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) R$ group as found in (I), namely $R$ = phenyl and 4-( $n$-butoxy)phenyl (Wu et al., 2006), and $R=$ anthracenyl (Hursthouse et al., 2003).

(I)

Two independent molecules comprise the asymmetric unit of (I) (Fig. 1). While the configuration about the $\mathrm{C} 11=\mathrm{C} 12$ bond in each case is $E$, as found in the aforementioned derivatives, there are non-trivial differences between the independent molecules in (I). In particular, there are twists about the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 11, \mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 14$ and $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 20$ bonds as seen in the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15$ and $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-$ C20-C21 torsion angles of $-18.6(6), 148.1$ (4) and $-11.4(5)^{\circ}$, respectively, for the first independent molecule compared with 15.1 (6), 171.5 (4) and -1.1 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively, for the second molecule. These features preclude the adoption of a higher-symmetry space group, even though a PLATON (Spek, 2003) analysis of (I) indicated significant pseudosymmetry. By contrast, there are no significant differences in terms of bond distances and angles, which are as expected ( Wu et al., 2006; Hursthouse et al., 2003).

The conformations of the ferrocenyl groups in (I) are effectively eclipsed; it was not possible to resolve the disorder apparent in the cyclopentadienyl rings into well defined orientations. An eclipsed conformation was also found in the $R=$ phenyl derivative mentioned above, in contrast to the almost perfectly staggered conformation found in the other two structures.




Figure 1
The atom-labelling scheme used for the two independent molecules in (I), showing $35 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids (arbitrary spheres for the H atoms).


Figure 2
The crystal packing in (I), viewed down the $a$ axis. Colour code: Fe brown, O red, C grey and H green.

A view of the crystal packing in (I) is shown in Fig. 2. The structure may be described as a layered arrangement stacked along the $c$ axis. Thus, layers of ferrocenyl entities, comprising both independent molecules, face similar layers. These double layers are separated by layers comprising the organic residues.

There is no evidence of significant $\pi-\pi$ interaction but there are $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts between ferrocenyl residues as well as between the non-ferrocenyl residues. The closest $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contact of $2.82 \AA$ occurs between $\mathrm{C} 19 a / \mathrm{H} 19 a$ and the ring centroid of $\mathrm{C} 20^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 25^{\mathrm{i}}$ so that the $\mathrm{C} 19 \cdots$ centroid distance is 3.517 (3) $\AA$ and the angle at H is $133^{\circ}$ [symmetry code: (i) $x, y$, $1+z$ ]. The closest interaction between ferrocenyl groups occurs between $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}$ and the ring centroid of $\mathrm{C} 6{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{C} 10^{\mathrm{ii}}$ so that the respective parameters are $2.98 \AA, 3.851$ (5) $\AA$ and $156^{\circ}$ [symmetry code: (ii) $\left.1-x, \frac{3}{2}+y, 1-z\right]$.

## Experimental

The title compound was prepared in accord with the literature procedure (Song et al., 2004, 2005). Brown crystals of (I) suitable for the X-ray study were grown by the slow evaporation of an acetone solution of the compound; m.p. 494-495 K.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=406.29$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{b}$
$a=11.140$ (3) A
$b=7.518$ (2) $\AA$
$c=24.123$ (6) $\AA$
$\beta=102.207$ (9) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1974.6(9) \AA^{3}$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.773, T_{\text {max }}=0.925$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.041$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.101$
$S=1.09$
8973 reflections
508 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0357 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +1.3096 P \text { ] } \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.47 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.33 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \text { Absolute structure: Flack (1983), } \\
& 4106 \text { Friedel pairs } \\
& \text { Flack parameter: } 0.212 \text { (18) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| C2-C1-C11 | $127.6(3)$ | C2a-C1a-C11a | $127.6(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1-C11-C12 | $126.5(4)$ | C1a-C11a-C12a | $127.0(3)$ |
| C11-C12-C13 | $120.4(3)$ | C11a-C12a-C13a | 121.2 (3) |
| O1-C13-C12 | $121.3(3)$ | O1a-C13a-C12a | $120.6(3)$ |
| O1-C13-C14 | $119.8(3)$ | O1a-C13a-C14a | $120.4(3)$ |
| C12-C13-C14 | $118.9(3)$ | C12a-C13a-C14a | $118.9(3)$ |

The H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.93 \AA$ (aromatic H atoms) and $0.96 \AA$ (methyl), and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values of 1.2 or 1.5 times $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for aromatic and methyl H atoms, respectively. The structure was refined as a racemic twin with twin fractions 0.212 (18):0.788 (18).

Data collection: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2004); cell refinement: CrystalStructure; data reduction: CrystalStructure;
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program(s) used to solve structure: PATTY in DIRDIF92 (Beurskens et al., 1992); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) and DIAMOND (Crystal Impact, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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